

Attachment 11.2

Confidential - Acquisition of garbage compaction units (RFQ110088)

2 pages



Evaluation summary

RFQ No: 10088

RFQ Name: Plant Replacement – 2 x RACV Bodies on Dual Control Truck Chassis

RFQ's were invited by select process.

Requests for Quotations closed at 2pm 6 January 2011 at which time 6 responses were received from the suppliers who are listed in table 1 (below), of these 2 are Non-conforming.

Table 1 – Tenders received from:

Name of Tenderer	Price (inc GST)
Stillwell International Trucks – MJE (Non-conforming)	\$714,500.14
Stillwell International Trucks – SP (Non-conforming)	\$735,001.94
CMI-Hino – MJE (Not available for functional evaluation)	\$746,525.00
CMI-Hino – SP (Not available for functional evaluation)	\$764,826.80
North East Isuzu – MJE	\$709,643.00
North East Isuzu – SP (Not available for functional evaluation)	\$731,040.20

The RFQ was assessed in accordance with Clause 23 "Evaluation of Tenders" of Council's Administration Procedure "Procurement". This procedure requires that tenders are assessed by an evaluation panel using a weighted matrix to rank the suppliers in order of preference.

The evaluation panel comprised the people listed as recommended by in table 2 (below).

Table 2 – Evaluation Panel Members

Name	Position Title
Paul Watson	Team Leader Plant & Fleet
John Souness	Plant Co-ordinator
Steve Anson	RACV Operator
Robert Burton	RACV Operator
Gordon Hayden	OH&S Representative
Norm Bergman	Team Leader Waste and Recycling

The scores for Price were automatically generated by the Tender Management System using the formula adopted from Association Consulting Engineers Australia (ACEA) as follows:

$$S = 5 + 10 \times \frac{(\$M - \$T)}{\$M}$$

Where: S = Score (Max 10), \$M = Median Price, \$T = Tendered Price

Scores for the remaining criteria were allocated qualitatively by agreement of the evaluation panel using standard procedure based on scoring in the range of 0 to 10, with 5 being acceptable. A full summary of the evaluation criteria, weightings and allocated scores is provided as table 3 (next page).

The evaluation panel has selected North East Isuzu (MDJ) as the preferred supplier and recommends that their offer for \$709,643.00 be accepted

Table 3 – Evaluation Criteria, Weightings and Scores

Criteria	Weighting %	Tenderer									
		North East Isuzu (MJE)		North East Isuzu (SuperiorPak)		CMI Hino (MJE)		CMI Hino (SuperiorPak)			
		Score (Max 10)	Weighted Score %	Score (Max 10)	Weighted Score %	Score (Max 10)	Weighted Score %	Score (Max 10)	Weighted Score %	Score (Max 10)	Weighted Score %
Price	40	5.32	21.28	5.03	20.12	4.82	19.28	4.57	18.28		
After Sales Service	10	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00		
Function/Operation	40	10	40	10	40	10	40	10	40		
Delivery	10	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00	0	0	0	0		
Totals	100		73.28		72.12		65.28		64.28		