

Attachment 10.3

**Contract Award: Rowley Road, Aldinga Beach, Reconstruction Tender #
09003 OT**

2 pages



City of
Onkaparinga

Tender Evaluation Summary

Contract No: 09003

Contract Name: Rowley Road Aldinga Beach Reconstruction

Tenders were invited by open tender process.

Tenders closed at 2pm Tuesday 5 May 2009 at which time seven tenders were received from the tenders who are listed in table 1 (below).

Table 1 – Tenders received from:

Name of Tenderer	Price (inc GST)
AR Contracting	\$960,898
ADCIV Pty Ltd	\$1,211,312
DML Constructions	\$1,070,190
Ertech Pty Ltd	\$1,015,430
M&B Civil	\$1,680,597
SA Civil	\$984,119
SEM Group	\$1,044,868

The tenders were assessed in accordance with Clause 23 'Evaluation of Tenders' of the City of Onkaparinga's administration procedure 'Procurement'. This procedure requires that tenders are assessed by an evaluation panel using a weighted matrix to rank the tenderers in order of preference.

The evaluation panel comprised the people listed as recommended by in table 2 (below).

Table 2 – Evaluation panel members

Name	Position Title
Phil Bickley	Team Leader Design
Simon Pettman	Team Leader Projects
Kevin DuBois	Development Engineer
Mark Grundy	Project Officer
Kathryn Kuchel	Contracts Engineer
Roger Rowe	Director KLINKHEM Pty Ltd (Bayswood Development)
Gianni Cirelli	Development Manager CIC (Sunday Development)
Boon Chua	KBR (consulting to CIC Sunday)

The scores for price were automatically generated by the Tender Management System using the formula adopted from Association Consulting Engineers Australia (ACEA) as follows:

$$S = 5 + 10 \times \frac{(\$M - \$T)}{\$M}$$

Where: S = Score (Max 10), \$M = Median Price, \$T = Tendered Price

Scores for the remaining criteria were allocated qualitatively by agreement of the evaluation panel using standard procedure based on scoring in the range of 0 to 10, with 5 being acceptable. A full summary of the evaluation criteria, weightings and allocated scores is provided as table 3 (next page).

The evaluation panel has selected AR Contracting as the preferred supplier and recommends that their tender for \$960,898 be accepted.

Table 3 – Evaluation Criteria, Weightings and Scores

Criteria	Weighting %	Tenderer							
		AR Contracting		SA Civil		Ertech		SEM Group	
		Score (Max 10)	Weighted Score %	Score (Max 10)	Weighted Score %	Score (Max 10)	Weighted Score %	Score (Max 10)	Weighted Score %
Price	30%	5.804	17.4	5.581	16.7	5.282	15.9	5.000	15.0
Appreciation of task	15%	7	10.5	6	9	7	10.5	6	9
Current commitments / time	15%	8	12	8	12	8	12	8	12
Demonstrated ability (experience)	15%	8	12	8	12	8	12	8	12
Methodology	15%	6	9	5	7.5	6	9	6	9
Personnel / experience	10%	7	7	6	6	6	6	6	6
Totals	100		67.9		63.2		65.4		63.0

Criteria	Weighting %	Tenderer					
		DML Constructions		ADCIV		M&B Civil	
		Score (Max 10)	Weighted Score %	Score (Max 10)	Weighted Score %	Score (Max 10)	Weighted Score %
Price	30%	4.758	14.3	3.407	10.2	0	0
Appreciation of task	15%	7	10.5	7	10.5	6	9
Current commitments / time	15%	8	12	7	10.5	8	12
Demonstrated ability (experience)	15%	7	10.5	8	12	7	10.5
Methodology	15%	6	9	6	9	6	9
Personnel / experience	10%	6	6	7	7	6	6
Totals	100		62.3		59.2		46.5