

**Attachment 10.1**

**Confidential Contract Award: Beach Road West upgrade – Tender No. 09007  
OT**

**2 pages**

## Tender Evaluation Summary

**Contract No:** 09007

**Contract Name:** Beach Road (West Christies) Beach Upgrade

The Tenders were assessed in accordance with Clause 23 "Evaluation of Tenders" of Council's Administration Procedure "Procurement". This procedure requires that tenders are assessed by an evaluation panel using a weighted matrix to rank the tenderers in order of preference.

The evaluation panel comprised the following people listed in table 1 (below)

**Table 1**

Name	Position Title
Phil Bickley	Team Leader Design
Simon Pettman	Team Leader Projects
Mark Grundy	Project Officer
Debbie Rich	Project Leader
Kathryn Kuchel	Contracts Engineer

The scores for Price were automatically generated by the Tender Management System using the formula adopted from Association Consulting Engineers Australia (ACEA) as follows:

$$S = 5 + 10 \frac{(\$M - \$T)}{\$M}$$

Where:

S = Score (Out of 10)

\$M = Median Price

\$T = Tendered Price

Scores for the remaining criteria were allocated qualitatively by the consensus of the evaluation panel using standard procedure based on scoring in the range of 0 to 10, with 5 being acceptable.

A full summary of the evaluation criteria, weightings and allocated scores is provided as table 2 (next page).

Table 2

Criteria	Weighting	Tenderer					
		CME Civil		M & B Civil		McMahon Services	
		Score (out of 10)	Weighted Score	Score (out of 10)	Weighted Score	Score (out of 10)	Weighted Score
<b>Price</b> <i>To assess:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• whether the tender represents value for money; and</li> <li>• cost against budget</li> </ul>	<b>30%</b>	4.973	14.9	5	15	6.289	18.9
<b>Current Commitments/Time</b> <i>To assess the availability of the tenderer, or a specific program of construction</i>	<b>10%</b>	6	6	6	6	7	7
<b>Demonstrated Ability (Experience)</b> <i>To assess the experience and capability of the tenderer to perform the Contract</i>	<b>20%</b>	5	10	5	10	8	16
<b>Methodology, including appreciation of task</b> <i>To assess the methods of work proposed by the Tenderer</i>	<b>20%</b>	6	12	4	8	7	14
<b>Appreciation of Task</b> <i>To assess the tenderer's understanding of the project outcomes, or scope of work</i>	<b>10%</b>	6	6	4	4	7	7
<b>Resources</b> <i>To assess whether the tender has the necessary plant or equipment and financial capability to perform the contract</i>	<b>10%</b>	6	6	5	5	6	6
<b>Total</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>54.9%</b>		<b>48%</b>		<b>68.9%</b>	