

Attachment 11.4

**Confidential - contract award Happy Valley Sports Park redevelopment – stage
two implementation tender 11025**

2 pages



Tender evaluation summary

Contract No: 11025

Contract Name: Happy Valley Sports Park Redevelopment – Stage 2

Tenders were invited by open tender process.

Tenders closed at 2.00pm Tuesday at which time six tenders were received from the tenderers who are listed in table 1 (below)

Table 1 – Tenders received from:

Name of Tenderer	Price (inc GST)
ADCIV Pty Ltd	\$814,761.33
Axis Constructions Pty Ltd	\$782,410.00
Coombs & Barei Constructions Pty Ltd	\$1,122,373.90
Hakea Landscape & Revegetation Services	\$1,071,392.36
T & J Constructions Pty Ltd	\$924,727.63
Total Commercial Maintenance Pty Ltd	\$1,294,932.10

The Tenders were assessed in accordance with Clause 23 "Evaluation of Tenders" of Council's Administration Procedure "Procurement". This procedure requires that tenders are assessed by an evaluation panel using a weighted matrix to rank the tenderers in order of preference.

The evaluation panel comprised the people listed as recommended by in table 2 (below).

Table 2 – Evaluation Panel Members

Name	Position Title
Matt Buckell,	Project Leader
Ron Ganzevoort,	Project Officer
Venugopal (Reddy) Gelivi,	Civil Engineer
Kathryn Kuchel,	Contracts Engineer

The scores for Price were automatically generated by the Tender Management System using the formula adopted from Association Consulting Engineers Australia (ACEA) as follows:

$$S = 5 + 10 \times \frac{(\$M - \$T)}{\$M}$$

Where: S = Score (Max 10), \$M = Median Price, \$T = Tendered Price

Scores for the remaining criteria were allocated qualitatively by agreement of the evaluation panel using standard procedure based on scoring in the range of 0 to 10, with 5 being acceptable. A full summary of the evaluation criteria, weightings and allocated scores is provided as table 3 (next page).

The evaluation panel has selected ADCIV as the preferred supplier and recommends that their Tender for \$814,761.33 (incl GST) be accepted

Table 3 – Evaluation Criteria, Weightings and Scores

Criteria	Weighting %	Tenderer											
		ADCIV		Axis Constructions		Coombs & Barei Constructions		Hakea Landscape & Revegetation Services		T & J Constructions		Total Commercial Maintenance	
		Score (Max 10)	Weighted Score %	Score (Max 10)	Weighted Score %	Score (Max 10)	Weighted Score %	Score (Max 10)	Weighted Score %	Score (Max 10)	Weighted Score %	Score (Max 10)	Weighted Score %
Price <i>To assess whether the tender represents value for money and cost against budget</i>	40%	6.84	27.36	7.16	28.64	3.76	15.04	4.27	17.08	5.73	22.92	2.03	8.12
Demonstrated Ability (Experience) <i>To assess the experience and capability of the tender to perform the contract</i>	20%	8	16	2	4	6	12	4	8	8	16	2	4
Methodology <i>To assess the methods of work proposed by the tenderer</i>	30%	4	12	4	12	5	15	4	12	5	15	4	12
Expertise <i>To assess whether the tenderer has personnel or staff with suitable qualifications or experience to manage the project</i>	10%	7	7	4	4	4	4	5	5	3	3	3	3
Totals	100		54.36		48.64		46.04		42.08		50.92		27.12