

Attachment 11.2

Confidential – contract award Aldinga Recreation Centre – Design and Construct

3 pages



Tender Evaluation Summary

Contract No: 10012

Contract Name: Aldinga Recreation Centre – Design & Construct

Tenders were invited by open tender process.

Tenders closed at 2pm Tuesday 30 March 2010 at which time 11 tenders were received from the tenderers who are listed in table 1 (below).

Table 1 – Tenders received from:

Name of Tenderer	Price (inc GST)
Ahrens Group	\$2,360,600
Axis Constructions	\$3,486,494
BMD	\$3,759,996
BuildTec Group	\$3,203,866
Cox Constructions	\$3,651,611
Hansen Yuncken	\$3,111,787.80
ISIS Projects	\$3,577,387
Minuzzo Project Management	\$2,695,000
ProActive Property	\$3,474,625
Schiavello	\$3,190,367
Sitzler	\$4,450,648

The Tenders were assessed in accordance with Clause 23 "Evaluation of Tenders" of Council's Administration Procedure "Procurement". This procedure requires that tenders are assessed by an evaluation panel using a weighted matrix to rank the tenderers in order of preference.

The evaluation panel comprised the people listed in table 2 (below).

Table 2 – Evaluation Panel Members

Name	Position Title
Michelle Gegenhuber	Manager Projects
Matt Buckell	Project Leader
Brian Fitzpatrick	Project Leader
Carmine Gallerello	Property Officer
Phil Bickley	Team Leader, Design
Kathryn Kuchel	Contracts Engineer

The scores for Price were automatically generated by the Tender Management System using the formula adopted from Association Consulting Engineers Australia (ACEA) as follows:

$$S = 5 + 10 \times \frac{(\$M - \$T)}{\$M}$$

Where: S = Score (Max 10), \$M = Median Price, \$T = Tendered Price

Scores for the remaining criteria were allocated qualitatively by agreement of the evaluation panel using standard procedure based on scoring in the range of 0 to 10, with 5 being acceptable. A full summary of the evaluation criteria, weightings and allocated scores is provided as table 3 (next page).

The evaluation panel has selected ISIS Projects as the preferred supplier and recommends that their Tender for \$3,577,387 incl GST be accepted.

Table 3a – Evaluation Criteria, Weightings and Scores

Criteria	Weighting %	Tenderer											
		ISIS Projects		Cox Constructions		Hansen Yuncken		Schiavello		Minuzzo Project Management		Build Tec	
To assess		Score (Max 10)	Weighted Score %	Score (Max 10)	Weighted Score %	Score (Max 10)	Weighted Score %	Score (Max 10)	Weighted Score %	Score (Max 10)	Weighted Score %	Score (Max 10)	Weighted Score %
Price <i>whether the tender represents value for money and cost against budget.</i>	35	3.83	13.42	3.6	12.61	5.29	18.51	5.04	17.65	6.59	23.06	5	17.5
Demonstrated Ability / Experience <i>the experience and capability of the tender to perform the Contract.</i>	15	6	9	7	10.5	5	7.5	7	10.5	6	9	7	10.5
Current Commitments/Time <i>the availability of the tenderer to perform the contract or where a specific program of work or construction program is required to be submitted.</i>	15	6	9	5	7.5	3	4.5	5	7.5	3	4.5	3	4.5
Methodology / Appreciation of Task <i>the tenderer's understanding of the project outcomes or scope of work; and the methods of work proposed by the tenderer.</i>	15	8	12	7	10.5	5	7.5	3	4.5	2	3	4	6
Personnel / Expertise <i>whether the tenderer has personnel or staff with suitable qualifications or experience to manage the contract.</i>	10	7	7	6	6	6	6	3	3	3	3	3	3
Resources – Physical/Financial <i>whether the tender has the necessary plant or equipment and financial capability to perform the contract</i>	10	5	5	6	6	3	3	3	3	3	2	3	3
Totals	100		55.4		53.1		47.0		46.2		45.6		44.5