

Attachment 11.1

**Confidential: Tender 10019 - Port Noarlunga Foreshore Redevelopment car
park reconstruction**

2 pages



Tender Evaluation Summary

Contract No: 10019

Contract Name: Port Noarlunga Foreshore Redevelopment – Stage 3

Tenders were invited by Open process.

Tenders closed at 2pm 20th April 2010 at which time eight (8) tenders were received from the tenders who are listed in table 1 (below)

Table 1 – Tenders received from:

Name of Tenderer	Price (inc GST)
SEM Civil Pty Ltd	\$853,217.64
Lorenzin Construction Pty Ltd	Company in Liquidation
McMahon Services Australia Pty Ltd	\$738,980.00
SA Civil Pty Ltd	\$1,101,769.84
Fulton Hogan Pty Ltd	\$987,144.52
ADCIV Pty Ltd	\$926,226.99
Badge Constructions Pty Ltd	\$958,430.00
Southern Garden Supplies with JR Civil	\$919,906.55

A further tender was received at 3.15pm and was not accepted for evaluation.

The Tenders were assessed in accordance with Clause 23 "Evaluation of Tenders" of Council's Administration Procedure "Procurement". This procedure requires that tenders are assessed by an evaluation panel using a weighted matrix to rank the tenderers in order of preference.

The evaluation panel comprised the people listed as recommended by in table 2 (below).

Table 2 – Evaluation Panel Members

Name	Position Title
Mr. Ross Dunkley	Civil Engineering Designer
Mr. Ivan Ho Ion-Kei	Estimator
Mr. Quentin Mitchell	Park Service Officer Environment
Mr. Alex Derewlany	Project Leader
Mr. John Braun	Contracts Officer

The scores for Price were automatically generated by the Tender Management System using the formula adopted from Association Consulting Engineers Australia (ACEA) as follows:

$$S = 5 + 10 \times \frac{(\$M - \$T)}{\$M}$$

Where: S = Score (Max 10), \$M = Median Price, \$T = Tendered Price

Scores for the remaining criteria were allocated qualitatively by agreement of the evaluation panel using standard procedure based on scoring in the range of 0 to 10, with 5 being acceptable. A full summary of the evaluation criteria, weightings and allocated scores is provided as table 3 (next page).

The evaluation panel has selected McMahon Services Pty Ltd as the preferred supplier and recommends that their tender for \$738,980.00 be accepted

Table 3 – Evaluation Criteria, Weightings and Scores

Criteria	Weighting %	Tenderer									
		SEM Civil Pty Ltd		McMahon Services Pty Ltd		SA Civil Pty Ltd		Fulcon Hogan Pty Ltd			
		Score (Max 10)	Weighted Score %	Score (Max 10)	Weighted Score %	Score (Max 10)	Weighted Score %	Score (Max 10)	Weighted Score %	Score (Max 10)	Weighted Score %
Value for Money	30	5.72	17.16	6.97	20.91	3.02	9.06	4.27	12.81		
Demonstrated Ability	20	7	14	8	16	8	16	7	14		
Current Commitments	20	8	16	8	16	7	14	4	8		
Appreciation of Task	10	4	4	8	8	4	4	4	4		
Methodology	10	4	4	8	8	4	4	4	4		
Personnel/Expertise	10	8	8	8	8	8	8	6	6		
Totals	100		63.16		76.91		55.06		48.81		

Criteria	Weighting %	Tenderer							
		Badge Construction Pty Ltd		Southern Garden Supplies with JR Civil		ADCTV Pty Ltd			
		Score (Max 10)	Weighted Score %	Score (Max 10)	Weighted Score %	Score (Max 10)	Weighted Score %	Score (Max 10)	Weighted Score %
Value for Money	30	4.58	13.74	5	15	4.93	14.79		
Demonstrated Ability	20	7	14	6	12	8	16		
Current Commitments	20	4	8	6	12	8	16		
Appreciation of Task	10	4	4	6	6	4	4		
Methodology	10	4	4	7	7	4	4		
Personnel/Expertise	10	6	6	6	6	7	7		
Totals	100		49.74		48		61.79		